

H

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/783,671	02/14/2001		Ofer Zimmerman	ENS-002-CIP-4	9657
7590 02/25/2004				EXAMINER	
Jaquez & Asso			SEFCHECK, GREGORY B		
c/o Martin J. Jac Suite 2640	quez, Esq		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
750 B Street				2662	·¬
San Diego, CA 92101				DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/783,671	ZIMMERMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory B Sefcheck	2662
The MAILING DATE of this commun. Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  Forom the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on	
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
•	for allowance except for formal matters ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 14 February		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	the correction is required if the drawing(s) in by the Examiner. Note the attached O	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies</li></ul>	documents have been received. documents have been received in Appl of the priority documents have been received.	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3, 5, 6.		nmary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/783,671 Page 2

Art Unit: 2662

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to because the margins of Figs. 1, 6, and 8 are not of adequate size. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - Claim 3 states that a flag packet comprises information regarding an associated CPU, instead of CPE, as described in the specification.
     Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/783,671 Page 3

Art Unit: 2662

 Claim 4 does not define how a shared memory processor provides an additional step to the method.

- The "shared memory processor" of claim 4 is not adequately defined as a part of the alerting step of claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Evans et al. (US006151312A), hereafter Evans.
  - In regards to Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, and 10,

Evans discloses a network protocol that supplies a method and apparatus for allocating bandwidth in a broadband wireless point to multipoint system between a base station and multiple users (Title; Abstract; Col. 1, lines 8-20; claim 1/8 — method/apparatus for allocating bandwidth in broadband wireless system including plurality of CPE in communication with base stations).

Referring to Fig. 2, Evans shows the spectrum bandwidth allocations for downstream and upstream transmissions between the base station (AIU) and the plurality of users (NIU; Col. 5, lines 23-49; claim 1/8 – base stations maintain uplink and downlink sub-frame maps representative of the bandwidth allocations).

Page 4

Evans discloses that the base station receives packets from users on upstream transmissions (Col. 2, lines 4-5; claim 1/8 – means for obtaining a packet).

The base station receives idle cells (padding packets), as defined by the ATM Forum, from users that have no data to transmit in their assigned upstream timeslot (Col. 18, lines 50-57; claim 1/8 — means for determining if the obtained packet is a padding packet).

The base station detects idle cells received at its modem (Col. 14, line 58) from a user through the use of messages (flag packets) from the specified user to the CPU of the base station (Col. 15, lines 24-26; Col. 17, lines 24-53; claim 1/8 – if padding packet, means for alerting a base station CPU, coupled to determining means, of the padding packet and an associated CPE; claim 4 – base station CPU comprises a shared memory processor).

The base station CPU may de-allocate reserved time slots for a user that is not utilizing all their bandwidth for data (Col. 19, lines 58-64; claim 1/8 – means for reducing bandwidth allocation of the CPE, coupled to base station CPU; claim 6/10 – base station modem performs/comprises obtaining step/means; claim 6/10 – base station CPU performs/comprises determining, alerting, and reducing step/means).

Application/Control Number: 09/783,671

Art Unit: 2662

- In regards to Claims 2, 3, 5, 7, and 9,

Evans discloses a network protocol that supplies a method for allocating bandwidth in a broadband wireless point to multipoint system between a base station and multiple users that covers all limitations of the parent claim.

Evans shows that the base station CPU is alerted that idle cells have been received at the base station modem through a message (flag packet) that specifies the associated user (Col. 14, line 58; Col. 15, lines 24-26; Col. 17, lines 48-51; claim 2 – alerting a base station CPU comprises transmitting a flag packet to a base station CPU; claim 3 – flag packet comprises information regarding an associated CPE), at which time the base station CPU may issue a control message for resetting the user's bandwidth allocations; claim 5/9 – base station modem performs/comprises obtaining, determining and alerting steps/means; claim 5/9 – base station CPU performs/comprises reducing step/means; claim 7 – reducing step comprises resetting all requested bandwidth for the associated CPE).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/783,671 Page 6

Art Unit: 2662

#### **Conclusion**

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Kalkunte et al. (US006470016B1) discloses servicing output queues dynamically according to bandwidth allocation in a frame environment
- Hanko et al. (US006438141B1) discloses a method and management of communications over media of finite bandwidth
- Gorsuch et al. (US006388999B1) discloses dynamic bandwidth allocation for multiple access communications using buffer urgency factor
- Varma et al. (US006359863B1) discloses a rate allocation system and method for ATM switched networks
- Smith et al. (US006337849B1) discloses a service multiplexer
- Chin et al. (US006314110B1) discloses a method and apparatus for distributed bandwidth allocation for a bi-directional ring media with spatial and local reuse
- Proctor (US006222832B1) discloses fast acquistion of traffic channels for a highly variable data rate reverse link of a CDMA wireless communication system
- Perreault et al. (US005596577A) discloses a method and system for providing access by secondary stations to a shared medium

Application/Control Number: 09/783,671

Art Unit: 2662

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory B Sefcheck whose telephone number is 703-305-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 703-305-4744. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GBS 2-12-2004

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600